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Lessons from a Crisis

The international financial crisis underlines the vital importance of monitoring and assessing all trading counterparties

- Counterparty Credit Risk
- Settlement Risk
- Market Risk
- Liquidity Risk

‘Your card is fine. I’m just checking that your bank hasn’t expired’
The New Trading Standard

Limit order books are used to match buyers and sellers in more than half of the world’s financial markets.
Why are LOBs so Popular?

- They give market participants the freedom to evaluate their own need for immediacy
  - They allow some market participants to demand immediacy (by placing a market order) . . .
  - . . . while others supply it (by placing a limit order)
- They provide market participants around the world real-time access to the market
- They present a detailed and accurate picture of market state
- They radically democratize the process of trading
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The recent international financial crisis underlines the vital importance of monitoring and assessing all trading counterparties.
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Limit Order Books
## Price Formation in a Centralized Limit Order Book

### A Dynamic Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bid Side</th>
<th>Ask Side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>bid-price</strong></td>
<td>Depth available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>mid-price</strong></td>
<td>Depth available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Graphical Representation

**Bid Side**

- **bid-price**
- **mid-price**
- **spread**

**Ask Side**

- **ask-price**
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Order Anonymity

- Activity in an LOB is anonymous
- Trading platforms do not disseminate information regarding order ownership
- Institutions do not know which institutions own which limit orders
- Therefore, institutions do not know the identity of their counterparty when entering into a trade

Question

*Given that any such trade incorporates counterparty risk, how should markets be organized? Does there exist a way to address counterparty risk, while still facilitating trade via an LOB?*
The overwhelming majority of discussion related to this question has advocated the use of a *central counterparty (CCP)* to *novate* trades.

- Ben Bernanke: CCPs are “vital” for the healthy functioning of a financial market.
- World Federation for Exchanges: “CCP risk management capacities for mitigating and managing counterparty risk are essential contributions to the safety of the global financial marketplace”
- CAPCO: “CCPs play a critical role in post-trade management”
Central Counterparties

Market Microstructure in the FX Spot Market
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\[ \text{B} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{C} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{S} \]
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\[ \text{B} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{S} \]
Despite the strong support for this mechanism, the use of a CCP has several important weaknesses.

- Single point of failure
- High margin requirements drain liquidity
- Moral hazard
Problems with Central Counterparties

In recent decades, several high-profile CCPs have indeed failed.

- Caisse de Liquidation in Paris in 1973
- Kuala Lumpur Commodity Clearing House in 1983
- Hong Kong Futures Guarantee Corporation in 1987

These failures suggest that CCPs are not a panacea for the issue of counterparty risk.
In recent decades, several high-profile CCPs have indeed failed.

- Caisse de Liquidation in Paris in 1973
- Kuala Lumpur Commodity Clearing House in 1983
- Hong Kong Futures Guarantee Corporation in 1987
- Alpari in 2015

These failures suggest that CCPs are not a panacea for the issue of counterparty risk.
Limit Order Books
A Solution for LOBs?

Quasi-Centralized

Limit Order Books
Let $\Theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots\}$ denote the set of institutions that trade a given asset on a given platform.

In a *quasi-centralized LOB* (QCLOB), each institution $\theta_i$ assigns a *credit limit* $c(i,j)$ to each other institution $\theta_j \neq \theta_i$.

Let $v(i,j)$ denote the total value of outstanding trades between $\theta_i$ and $\theta_j$.

**Definition**

*For a pair of institutions* $\theta_i, \theta_j \in \Theta$,

$$\theta_i \text{ accepts } \theta_j \iff v(i,j) < c(i,j).$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

*Otherwise, $\theta_i$ blocks $\theta_j$.***
If $\theta_i$ accepts $\theta_j$, we write $\theta_i \rightarrow \theta_j$. If $\theta_i$ blocks $\theta_j$, we write $\theta_i \not\rightarrow \theta_j$.

If $\theta_i \rightarrow \theta_j$ AND $\theta_j \rightarrow \theta_i$, then $\theta_i$ and $\theta_j$ are trading partners.

If $\theta_i$ and $\theta_j$ are trading partners, we write $\theta_i \leftrightarrow \theta_j$. Otherwise, we write $\theta_i \not\leftrightarrow \theta_j$. 
For the set of institutions $\Theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$, if $\theta_2$ blocks $\theta_3$, $\theta_3$ accepts $\theta_2$, and $\theta_1$ is trading partners with both $\theta_2$ and $\theta_3$, we can represent these trading partnerships as:

```
1
\---\---\---
|    |    |
\---\---\---
2    3
```
In a QCLOB, if $\theta_i \leftrightarrow \theta_j$, then $\theta_i$ and $\theta_j$:

- Are not able to trade with each other
- Are not able to see each other’s active orders in the LOB.

**Definition**

Let $x_i$ denote an order that is owned by institution $\theta_i \in \Theta$. In a QCLOB, $\theta_i$’s local LOB, denoted $\mathcal{L}_i(t)$, is the subset of orders in $\mathcal{L}(t)$ that are owned by $\theta_i$’s trading partners:

$$\mathcal{L}_i(t) := \{x_j \in \mathcal{L}(t) | \theta_i \leftrightarrow \theta_j\}.$$
**Figure:** (Left) The global LOB $\mathcal{L}(t)$ and (right) $\theta_2$’s local LOB $\mathcal{L}_2(t)$
Question: In a QCLOB, how do institutions with few trading partners assess market state?
The Hotspot FX Trading Screen

- Market Participant's Filtered LOBs
- Options and Customizations
- Price and Size of Active Orders in Filtered LOBs
- Market Participant's Active Orders
- Market Participant's Own Orders
- Additional Order Options
- Time Series of All Trades
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Question: In a QCLOB, how do institutions with few trading partners assess market state?

Answer: Each institution $\theta_i \in \Theta$ views not only their local LOB $\mathcal{L}_i(t)$, but also a time series of all trades.
Except for the extreme case in which all institutions are trading partners with all others, different institutions in the same QCLOB have access to different trading opportunities at the same time.

Key considerations for this presentation:
- Which statistical regularities exist in such markets?
- Do these statistical regularities differ from those in centralized LOBs?
- What might they tell us about the ways in which people trade?
- Do arbitrage opportunities arise for some traders?
- How does price formation vary from that in centralized LOBs?
The Hotspot FX Data

- 3rd largest electronic trading platform in the FX spot market
- Approximately 10% of the market’s total global volume
- More than 60 different currency pairs; we study:
  - EUR/USD (solid lines)
  - GBP/USD (dashed lines)
  - EUR/GBP (dotted-dashed lines)
- Data describes all order flow during “peak trading hours” of 08:00–17:00 GMT on 30 trading days during May–June 2010
- Can reconstruct the global LOB $\mathcal{L}(t)$ (at all levels) at any time during this period
- However: No information about the trade-partnership network or any $\mathcal{L}_i(t)$
Relative Pricing

In LOBs, knowing the price of an order is of little use because typical trade prices change. *Relative pricing* provides a context to prices:

**Definition**

The bid-side relative price $\delta_b(p)$ is defined as $\delta_b = b(t) - p$.

**Definition**

The ask-side relative price $\delta_a(p)$ is defined as $\delta_a = p - a(t)$.

Relative pricing has led to the discovery of several robust statistical properties in a wide range of centralized LOBs:

- Power-law decay of arrival rates by relative price
- Power-law decay of cancellation rates by relative price
- Hump-shaped mean LOB state
Limit Order Arrivals
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Cancellations
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Mean Relative Depth Profile

- Quote−Relative Price (ticks)
- Mean Depth (units of base currency)
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The Trouble with Relative Pricing

Clearly:
- Each market participant has different motives for trading
- The behaviour of any single market participant is erratic

Yet:
- Measured in a reference frame that aggregates activity from a large number of market participants, robust statistical properties should emerge from the ensemble
- e.g., The ideal gas law

What went wrong when studying the QCLOB data?
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What went wrong when studying the QCLOB data?
In a QCLOB, no one knows the values of \( b(t) \) or \( a(t) \).

Even institutions that observe orders at \( b(t) \) or \( a(t) \) don’t know that there isn’t another active order at a better price.

If each institution makes decisions based on \( b_i(t) \) and \( a_i(t) \), superimposing market activity relative to \( b(t) \) and \( a(t) \) does not align their activity.
An Alternative: Trade Relative Pricing

Recall that QCLOBs disseminate a time series of the prices of all previous trades.

**Definition**

At a given time $t$, let $B(t)$ and $A(t)$ denote the prices at which the most recent bid-side (respectively, ask-side) trade occurred.

For a given price $p$ and a given time $t$:

**Definition**

The bid-side trade-relative price $\Delta_b(p)$ is defined as $\Delta_b = B(t) - p$.

**Definition**

The ask-side trade-relative price $\Delta_a(p)$ is defined as $\Delta_a = p - A(t)$.
Limit Order Arrivals
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Cancellation Ratio

Trade–Relative Price (ticks)

Cancellation Rate (dimensionless)
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QCLOBs: A Recap

- Allowing institutions to specify credit limits gives them explicit control over their choice of trading counterparties.
- Different institutions in a QCLOB have access to different trading opportunities at the same time.
- Quote-relative pricing does not provide a useful framework for studying QCLOBs.
- Trade-relative pricing uncovers robust statistical properties in the Hotspot FX data.

Question

How does the quasi-centralized nature of trade impact price formation?
The Bypassing Price of a Trade

Arriving Market Order

\[ a(t) \]

\[ b(t) \]

\[ a(t) \]
The Bypassing Price of a Trade

Consider a sequence of $N^A$ buyer-initiated trades that occur at times $t_1^A, t_2^A, \ldots, t_{N^A}^A$.

For each such trade $k$, let:

- The *traded-ask price* $p_k^A$ denote the price at which the trade occurs.
- The *global-ask price* $p_k^a$ denote the lowest price among active sell orders immediately before $t_k^A$.
- The *bypassed-ask price* $p_k^\alpha = p_k^A - p_k^a$ (2) denote the difference between the traded-ask price and the global-ask price.
## The Bypassing Price of a Trade: Summary Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>EUR/USD</th>
<th>GBP/USD</th>
<th>EUR/GBP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum (ticks)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum (ticks)</td>
<td>363.00</td>
<td>142.00</td>
<td>73.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (ticks)</td>
<td>0.00 (&lt; 0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (&lt; 0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (&lt; 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (ticks)</td>
<td>2.53 (0.09)</td>
<td>3.18 (0.12)</td>
<td>1.90 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Dev. (ticks)</td>
<td>5.69 (0.97)</td>
<td>6.65 (0.21)</td>
<td>4.11 (0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Zeros</td>
<td>55.80% (1.43%)</td>
<td>61.17% (1.79%)</td>
<td>66.35% (1.46%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table:** Summary statistics for EUR/USD, GBP/USD, and EUR/GBP bypassing prices.
The Bypassing Price of a Trade: Survivor Functions

![Graph showing survivor functions for different currency pairs: EUR/USD, GBP/USD, and EUR/GBP. The x-axis represents the bypassing price in ticks, and the y-axis represents the survival function S(\(p\)). The graph includes lines for each currency pair with corresponding markers.]
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Given a pair of successive trades, the change in traded-ask price is

$$\Delta p_k^A = p_k^A - p_{k-1}^A,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

the change in global-ask price is

$$\Delta p_k^a = p_k^a - p_{k-1}^a,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

and the change in bypassed-ask price is

$$\Delta p_k^\alpha = p_k^\alpha - p_{k-1}^\alpha.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
Price Changes: Survivor Functions

(Solid lines denote change in trade price, dashed lines denote change in quote price, dotted-dashed lines denote change in bypassing price)
(Solid lines denote change in trade price, dashed lines denote change in quote price, dotted-dashed lines denote change in bypassing price)
Decomposing Price Changes

Observe that

$$\Delta p_k^a + \Delta p_k^\alpha = p_k^a - p_{k-1}^a + p_k^\alpha - p_{k-1}^\alpha$$

$$= p_k^a - p_{k-1}^a + (p_k^A - p_k^a) - (p_{k-1}^A - p_{k-1}^a)$$

$$= p_k^A - p_{k-1}^A$$

$$= \Delta p_k^A.$$

Therefore, the change in traded-ask price between any consecutive pair of buyer-initiated trades can be decomposed into the sum of corresponding changes in global-ask price and bypassed-ask price,

$$\Delta p_k^A = \Delta p_k^a + \Delta p_k^\alpha.$$  (6)

A similar result holds for seller-initiated trades.
To quantify the relative importance of $\Delta p^a_k$ and $\Delta p^\alpha_k$ for $\Delta p^A_k$, we first perform separate ordinary least-squares (OLS) regressions

$$\hat{y}^1_k = \mu_0 + \mu_1 \Delta p^a_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, (N^A - 1), \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{y}^2_k = \nu_0 + \nu_1 \Delta p^\alpha_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, (N^A - 1), \quad (8)$$

where $\hat{y}^1_k$ and $\hat{y}^2_k$ are the OLS predictions of $\Delta p^a_k$. 
Decomposing Price Changes
In a multiple regression, the *relative importance* of an input variable measures its relative contribution to some specified aspect of the regression output.

The *dispersion importance* measures the proportion of the explained variance (i.e., $R^2$) of the output variable that is attributable to each input variable.

If a variable has a high dispersion importance, then its inclusion contributes a large fraction to the multiple regression’s total $R^2$. 
Decomposing Price Changes

EUR/USD

GBP/USD

EUR/GBP

Martin Gould, CFM–Imperial Institute

Market Microstructure in the FX Spot Market
In a QCLOB, different traders have access to different trading opportunities at the same time.

The bypassing price of a market order measures the cost to its owner for lacking the necessary trading partnerships to trade at the best quotes.

The bypassing prices of trades on Hotspot FX vary over about 3 orders of magnitude.

Decomposing price changes enables explicit comparisons of changes in quote price and changes in bypassing price.

The primary driver for changes in trade price is changes in quote price, not changes in bypassing price.
QCLOBs provide institutions with all the key benefits of LOB trading, while also providing them with explicit choice of their trading counterparties.

Not only useful for excluding small, unknown counterparties!

Quote-relative pricing less useful for studying QCLOBs than reported elsewhere.

Trade-relative pricing provides much clearer insight into order flow and LOB state.

Although a handful of trades have large bypassing prices, the vast majority of trades occur near to the best quotes.

Policy recommendation: Test the use of QCLOBs in other markets.
And one last thing...

The use of QCLOBs does NOT exclude the presence (or absence!) of a trusted third-party for settling trades...
The use of QCLOBs does NOT exclude the presence (or absence!) of a trusted third-party for settling trades... 

...but this is a story for another day 😊


