Rectangular waveguide enabling technology using holey surfaces and wire media metamaterials
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Abstract

A new enabling technology for implementing tunable rectangular waveguide components and circuits is reported for the first time with the use of 2D and 3D metamaterials: a holey metal surface and wire media, respectively. Traditional solid metal irises are replaced by a wire medium metamaterial. These media are well known and used to emulate plasma behavior and, therefore, can be used to replace solid metal. As proof of concepts, results for tunable rectangular waveguide filters are presented with the use of pin block inductive irises and capacitive posts. Furthermore, by adapting the traditional metal-pipe rectangular waveguide for tunability, regions of the solid metal walls are replaced by holey metasurfaces that enable adjustments in the position and spacing of the pin blocks. Prototype tunable structures were measured for verification and good agreement is achieved between full-wave simulations and measurements. The results clearly demonstrate the potential for this tunable/reconfigurable rectangular waveguide enabling technology. Potentially new applications for this permeable enabling technology include lightweight and forced-air/cryogenically cooled subsystems, gas/vapor/humidity/pressure/light sensors, optoelectronic and even real-time tunable/reconfigurable components, circuits and subsystems.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Rectangular waveguide technologies have been advancing for many decades and find many applications. Traditional metal-pipe rectangular waveguides (MPRWGs) have been used for extremely low loss applications (e.g., low power radio astronomy to high power radar). Other non-extreme power applications have been implemented with substrate integrated waveguides (SIWs); from the original monolithic metal-pipe [1,2] to the low-cost PCB post-wall (or picket fence) [3] to the next generation of light-pattern-defined “virtual” plasma sidewall Reconfigurable Terahertz INtegrated Architecture (RETINA) concept [4,5] for real-time tunable/reconfigurable applications.

The diverse range of MPRWG components, circuits and subsystems make them essential for many microwave and millimeter-wave applications. However, tuning components/circuits and reconfiguring circuit/subsystem architectures with conventional MPRWG-based technologies can be difficult and/or expensive. To address this issue, tunable metamaterials can be employed [6]. Indeed, the last few decades has seen intensive research in the area of metamaterials with structures having unusual electromagnetic properties. One such material is the so-called wire (or rodded) medium, which has been known to emulate plasma behavior for over half a century [7]. However, it was only recently that a complete physical insight, describing its behavior, was given [8–10]. The wire medium can replace some of the solid metal parts of rectangular waveguide structures with the use of pin blocks. This alone is not enough to make tunable devices, as the issue of adjusting the geometric characteristics remains. For this reason, one further modification is required. Regions of the solid waveguide walls can be replaced by holey metasurfaces, patterned with deeply subwavelength holes [11,12]. The new wall regions provide access to the interior of the waveguide while maintaining its wave-guiding characteristics.

For example, short-circuit tuning stubs can be easily realized by replacing the movable solid metallic end wall with a pin block that can be placed through the holey surface in various positions, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). As a result, variable delay lines are straightforward to implement, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b), by adjusting the
Relative position of such tuning stubs and, thus, controlling the effective propagation path length of the guided wave. Similarly, programmable directional couplers with adjustable coupling aperture and tuning posts can be formed, as illustrated in Fig. 1(c), resulting in a change in the coupling coefficient. This concept can also be applied to power splitters, where power ratio can be changed by adjusting their external and internal geometric characteristics, as illustrated in Fig. 1(d). For reconfigurable applications N-throw switches can be realized, e.g., the single-pole three-throw (SP3T) switch shown in Fig. 1(e), where the position of the pins determines the output. Finally, as shown in Fig. 1(f), an adjustable H-plane horn antenna can be realized, where the gain/half-power beamwidth and beam pointing angle of the main lobe can be controlled.

Of course, single- and double-ridged rectangular waveguides can also be implemented, by partially penetrating pins within the waveguide (in the case of double-ridged waveguides both top and bottom walls need to be replaced by the holey metasurface); the penetration depth offers the flexibility of being able to adjust the capacitive loading and hence the guiding properties of the waveguide.

Among the most widely used rectangular waveguide circuits are filters, which can be implemented in a variety of ways; for example, employing inductive and/or capacitive irises, septa or posts [13–16]. For the purposes of demonstrating tunable waveguide circuits using holey surfaces and pin block metamaterials, filters employing inductive irises will be reported, although capacitive irises, septa and posts could also have been used.

2. Filter design

Fig. 2 shows illustrations of a 2-pole tunable inductive iris filter. A metal sheet patterned with an array of holes (arranged in a triangular lattice) to create the metasurface is used to replace small sections of one or more of the traditional MPRWG walls. In addition, the traditional solid internal field-perturbing metallic elements have been replaced by pin blocks. The result is the implementation of a band pass filter having tunable characteristics from the (re-)positioning of individual pins. The holey metasurface provides the required good electrical characteristics (i.e., sufficiently high conductivity) while enabling to reconfigure the structure mechanically (e.g., by inserting/removing pins through the holes).

Metallic cylindrical pin arrays penetrate the waveguide, via the holes of the metasurface, to create pin block regions that behave as solid metal blocks from a traditional inductive iris, as illustrated in Fig. 2. The physical length of the pins is equal to the external height of the waveguide, with their radius ideally matching that of the holes from the metasurface. The holes have deeply sub-wavelength dimensions, with respect to the guide wavelength (i.e., \( r \ll \lambda_g \)), where \( r \) and \( \lambda_g \) are the radius of the holes and the guide wavelength, respectively) and are arranged in a triangular lattice to minimize the spacing between adjacent pins; maximizing the pin block density and resolution of the individual pin positions. The former is important in order for the pin blocks to better mimic the low loss behavior of the solid blocks; while the latter enables finer tuning resolution.

Due to the perforated periodic pattern of the metasurface, the size and position of the inductive irises can be altered by changing the density and individual positions of pins and, therefore, the pass band can be easily tuned.

For simplicity, the waveguide is operating at the fundamental TE\(_{10}\) mode (only \( E_y \), \( H_x \), and \( H_z \) are non-zero), so that higher order
modes are cut off. Thus, the pins have to be placed vertically (parallel to the electric field) in order for \( E_z \) to induce currents along the pins and hence strongly interact with them. It is well known that wave-guiding structures containing discontinuities can be studied analytically using mode-matching techniques. If this technique is applied for the design shown in Fig. 2, where the waveguide is partitioned into several sections, the fields in each section are expanded in normal waveguide modes and the continuity of the fields is enforced at the interfaces. A thorough analysis is beyond the scope of this work and can be found in many standard textbooks (e.g., [17,18]).

2.1. Band pass filter

A 2-pole X-band tunable band pass filter will first be simulated with the use of a rectangular waveguide having approximate internal width \( a \) and height \( b \) spatial dimensions of \( a \times b = 23 \, \text{mm} \times 10 \, \text{mm} \) (i.e., similar to a standard WR-90 waveguide), with the whole of the top wall (as an extreme case) replaced by the holey metasurface.

To create a 2-pole filter, two cavity regions require six pin blocks inside the rectangular waveguide. For this particular filter design, symmetry exists in the \( x-z \) plane at \( x = a/2 \) and also in the \( x-y \) plane at the center of the filter; therefore, the physical length of each cavity \( L_1 = L_2 = L \) and the first and third irises have the same effective spatial dimensions of depth \( (d_1 = d_2) \) and thickness dimensions \( (t_1 = t_2) \). The nominal physical dimensions of the designed structures are given in Table 1.

This metamaterial filter has the important advantage in that it can be easily tuned, where the pass band can be translated across the entire X-band. The resonant frequency of the cavities (which defines the center frequency of the pass band \( f_0 \)) is mainly affected by separation distance \( L \). However, in order to fine tune the performance of the filter, other parameters can be adjusted, as will be discussed later. As an example, the results of full-wave numerical simulations using CST microwave studio (MWS) are shown in Fig. 3, for a filter having holey surfaces and pin block metamaterials defined by the physical dimensions given in Table 1. Moreover, but not shown here, the bandwidth of the pass band can just as easily be controlled by varying the depths \( d_1-d_2 \) to achieve the required coupling coefficient, by tuning levels of shunt inductive coupling.

A lumped-element equivalent circuit model for the 2-pole filter demonstrator is shown in Fig. 4. It consists of two directly coupled series \( L_4/C_4 \) resonators, which describe the identical cavity resonators in the waveguide filter, and three inductive coupling elements that correspond to the inductive irises (i.e., \( L_{11} \) and \( L_{22} \)) formed by the pin blocks. Finally, \( Z_{TE_{10}} = \omega \mu /k \) is the transverse wave impedance for the dominant TE\(_{10} \) mode, with \( \mu \) being the magnetic permeability of the waveguide filter (i.e., air in this case), with \( \mu = \mu_0 \), \( k_z = \sqrt{\beta^2 - (\pi/a)^2} \) is the wavevector for the TE\(_{10} \) mode along the direction of propagation and the phase constant in free space \( \beta = \omega/c \), with \( \omega \) and \( c \) being the angular frequency and the speed of light in free space, respectively.

Numerical simulation results clearly show that, within the pass band, both cavities are excited at resonance and most of the power propagates along and through the waveguide. Moreover, the physical spacing \( L \) is approximately half the guided wavelength, as seen in Fig. 5. Conversely, outside the pass band, the cavities are excited but the evanescent electric field decays exponentially through the cavities; the result is that the output power is just a small fraction of the input power.

To further investigate the performance of the holey surfaces and pin block metamaterials filter, and understand the effect of the various design parameters on its operation, parametric analysis has been undertaken, with some results shown in Fig. 6. Specifically, Fig. 6(a)–(d) was obtained by adding/renoving a row of pins in the dimension of interest. It can be seen that resonance is sensitive to changes in \( d_1, d_2 \) and \( t_2 \); even small variations in these parameters may completely distinguish the resonance. However, \( t_1 \) can be used to fine tune the pass band characteristics. As expected, different scenarios can yield similar results. For example, Fig. 6(e) and (f) shows that appropriate combinations for the values of \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) can shift the pass band, similar to adjusting the length \( L \) (i.e., increasing \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) increases the shunt inductance, which effectively reduces the electrical length of the cavities, similar to reducing \( L \)). Thus, there are many degrees of freedom that can be used to tune the filter.

2.2. Band stop filter

Another example of a simple tunable device is that of a band stop filter, realized by employing capacitive posts [15]. Even a single pin

---

**Table 1**

Spatial dimensions (in mm) of the proposed filter corresponding to triangular lattice hole/pin positions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( f_0 )</th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( L_1 = L_2 )</th>
<th>( d_1 = d_2 )</th>
<th>( d_2 )</th>
<th>( t_1 = t_2 )</th>
<th>( t_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>3.125</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 3.** Numerical simulation results of transmission (blue curves) and reflection (red curves) power loss characteristics for a tunable filter using the parameters given in Table 1, having its center frequency tuned for: (a) 8.4 GHz; (b) 9.2 GHz; and (c) 10.4 GHz. (For interpretation of the references to color in this legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)

**Fig. 4.** Ideal lumped-element equivalent circuit model for the 2-pole filter demonstrator.
that partially penetrates the inside of the waveguide can be used to implement the band stop characteristic [15]. The center frequency can be tuned by adjusting the penetration ratio \( h/b \) of the pin, where \( h \) is the internal penetration length of the pin (the smaller the ratio, the higher the tuned frequency), as can be seen in Fig. 7.

3. Manufactured proof-of-concept demonstrators

3.1. Band pass filter

A prototype of the 2-pole tunable filter, described in Section 2, has been fabricated. A single 0.5 mm thick perforated aluminum sheet having periodically arranged holes (with diameter \( 2r = 0.5 \) mm, in triangular lattice and with 1 mm periodicity) has been wrapped around a standard WR-90 rectangular waveguide filler, as shown in Fig. 8(a). The longitudinal gap along the length of the waveguide was sealed with a narrow strip of conductive aluminum tape. The joint was placed in the center of the broad wall of the waveguide (where the electric field is at a maximum for the fundamental mode), in order to ensure a good electrical connection at the critical locations where the electric field is zero. Next, 0.5 mm diameter stainless steel pins are inserted through the rectangular waveguide, in order to form the pin blocks for the inductive irises, as shown in Fig. 8(b) and (c); after tuning, the pins are secured in place with conductive epoxy glue.

Measurements were undertaken at the UK’s National Physical Laboratory, using a vector network analyzer (VNA) having a pair of WR-90 waveguide test ports and associated traceable calibration standards, for accurate S-parameter measurements across
the 8.2–12.4 GHz frequency range. Thru-Reflect (short)-Line (TRL) calibration [19] establishes the measurement reference planes at the waveguide test ports. The calibration was performed using an external calibration algorithm, employing a seven-term error-correction routine [20]. The complete measurement set-up (i.e., VNA, primary standards, and calibration algorithm) is referred to as the NPL primary impedance microwave measurement system (PIMMS) [21,22], and represents the UK’s primary national standard system for S-parameter measurements. PIMMS also determines the uncertainty in the S-parameter measurements. This is achieved following internationally agreed guidelines [23], with minor modifications to accommodate the complex-valued (i.e., vector) nature of the S-parameter measurements. For measurements in WR-90 waveguide, uncertainties of the linear magnitude of transmission coefficients typically range from 0.0003 to 0.0005 for a nominal transmission of 0.1 (i.e., 20 dB) in this waveguide band. Similarly, uncertainties for measurements of the linear magnitude of reflection coefficients typically range from 0.001 to 0.003 for low reflecting devices.

As can be seen in Fig. 9, a 2nd-order Chebyshev filter response, having two return loss zeros, is obtained when \( d_1 = d_3 = 6.4 \text{ mm}, \) \( d_2 = 7.3 \text{ mm}, \) \( t_1 = t_3 = 3 \text{ mm} \) and \( t_2 = 4.5 \text{ mm} \); whereas Fig. 10 shows the filter tuned to have a Butterworth type response. This can be achieved by changing the inductive coupling elements (i.e., changing the number and location of pins). Here, for example, \( d_1 = d_2 = 6.5 \text{ mm}, d_3 = 4.6 \text{ mm} \) and \( t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 3 \text{ mm} \). The agreement between simulated and measured results is excellent in both cases, with only small discrepancies being attributed to manufacturing tolerances and the specified dimensions. The 1.4\% shift in frequency can be easily corrected by a small increase in \( L = L_1 + L_2 = 15 \text{ mm} \).

It is interesting to note that the in-band insertion losses for both filters are approximately 0.5 dB in X-band, which includes the use of non-ideal metals (i.e., lossy aluminium for the holey metasurfaces and stainless steel for the pin blocks) and the additional contributions from the two superfluous 13.1 cm long aluminium holey metasurface feed lines; clearly indicating that this technology is inherently low loss. Obviously, the insertion loss performance for these filters can be improved by using copper (instead of both aluminium and stainless steel) and removing the long feed lines.

3.2. Band stop filter

Next, a prototype of a simple single-pole band stop filter is fabricated by inserting a single pin partially inside an unperturbed rectangular waveguide, as described previously. The pin is located off-center [15]. The response of this device is shown in Fig. 11, where the agreement between simulated and measured results is again

---

**Fig. 8.** (a) Above: modified WR-90 dielectric filter (used as a temporary former) and below: flat \( 20 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2 \) perforated aluminium sheet (before assembly); (b) underside of the prototype filter (where the aluminium tape protruding pins and standard WR-90 flanges can be seen); and (c) transverse view through the filter (showing the pin blocks and metasurfaces on all four walls running along the entire length of the 20 cm long rectangular waveguide).

**Fig. 9.** Left: Chebyshev filter full-wave CST MWS simulated (dotted curves) and measured (solid curves) responses; right: individual pin arrangement with \( L = L_1 + L_2 = 14.5 \text{ mm} \).

**Fig. 10.** Left: Butterworth filter full-wave CST MWS simulated (dotted curves) and measured (solid curves) responses; right: individual pin arrangement with \( L = L_1 + L_2 = 14.8 \text{ mm} \).
3.3. Single resonator

Taking advantage of the structure’s flexible arrangement for tunability, a single cavity resonator has been created by placing two double-rows of pins across the transverse width of the waveguide, separated by a distance \( L = 15.3 \) mm. Thus, the textbook value for the lossless resonant frequency of the dominant fundamental \( \text{TE}_{101} \) mode can be approximated by the well-known expression \( f_{101} = \frac{c}{2L}\sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{a^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{b^2}} \). In order to increase the coupling efficiency, the middle pin of each row is removed. Further pins can be removed, in order to obtain stronger coupling, but at the expense of decreasing the loaded quality factor. On the other hand, the loaded quality factor is increased if none of the pins are removed (i.e., weaker coupling). The simulated and measured responses for this simple resonator structure are shown in Fig. 12, where any discrepancy between simulated and measured values is due to the poor repeatability of our simple prototype.

The loaded quality factor \( Q_L(f_0) \) can be obtained from the transmission coefficient, either using the \(-3\) dB bandwidth (i.e., \( Q_L(f_0) = \Delta f f_0 \)), where \( \Delta f \) and \( f_0 \) are the \(-3\) dB bandwidth and the resonant frequency, respectively) or from the insertion phase frequency response by

\[
Q_L(f_0) = \frac{\pi f_0}{2} \frac{|S_{21}(f)|}{\partial S_{21}(f)/\partial f} \bigg|_{f=f_0}
\]

Both definitions are equivalent for large \( Q_L \) and give the same result (within a small numerical margin of error). The unloaded quality factor \( Q_u(f_0) \) can be calculated by taking into account the loading of the cavity from

\[
Q_u(f_0) = \frac{Q_L(f_0)}{1 - |S_{21}(f_0)|}
\]

The results for both \( Q_L(f_0) \) and \( Q_u(f_0) \) are given in Table 2. As can be seen, there is a difference between simulated and measured results for such high Q-factor resonators. This is mainly because of pin misalignment with our simple prototypes (since there are only two rows of pins). However, when the unloaded quality factor is compared with classical waveguide resonators (i.e., fixed structures having no tuning ability), there is a reduction in performance. This is because tunability comes at the cost of increased losses both via radiation through the holes and power leakage through the rows of pins (i.e., power that does not contribute to the resonance). Fortunately, these losses can be dramatically reduced by: (1) decreasing the size of the holes; (2) having only a small section of the waveguide patterned with such holes; and (3) increasing the pin density.

4. Discussion

The holey metasurface can be described as an effective medium, using homogenization concepts, having an effective conductivity \( \sigma_{\text{eff}} \) dictated by a filling factor; being naturally lower than the bulk dc conductivity \( \sigma_0 \) of conventional solid walls (i.e., \( \sigma_{\text{eff}} < \sigma_0 \)). Although such a structure can expect to have slightly higher losses, due to the small amount of radiation through the holes,
when compared with a conventional MPRWG, the losses can be dramatically reduced. Fig. 13 shows the attenuation of the proposed waveguide having a metasurface on the whole of its top wall (without any pins) and a conventional MPRWG. In practice, the losses can be reduced almost back to the levels of the conventional MPRWG by covering the remaining holes with a conductive adhesive metal foil after tuning with simple physical or automated actuation mechanisms.

5. Conclusion

A new and generic enabling technology for realizing rectangular waveguide-based tunable components and circuits, which combines 2D and 3D metamaterials (holey metasurfaces and pin blocks, respectively), has been demonstrated for the first time. Two classical filter implementations have been demonstrated. The first employs tunable inductive iris to return from the Chebyshev to Butterworth approximations for a 2-pole band pass filter; while the second employs a capacitive post to achieve a band stop filter characteristic having a single-pole Butterworth approximation.

The parametric study has shown robustness to the restriction of pin location and manufacturing tolerances. Moreover, with its large degrees of tuning freedom, which lends itself to automation, this technology can achieve high levels of functionality. Moreover, the measured low loss performances of the experimental filters, as well as the high Q-factors for a single resonator, given the non-ideal lossy metals used, clearly demonstrate that this new metamaterials technology can provide a low cost solution for tunable and reconfigurable architectures.

The proposed technology may find many applications that are not just limited to high performance filters that have restrictions on manufacturing tolerances [25,26]. Many types of discontinuity can be easily introduced by inserting pins either fully or partially into the rectangular waveguide and in all three orthogonal planes. For example, tunable ridged waveguides is one possible application. Moreover, the post-wall SIW, with its diverse array of component and circuits, including all the examples illustrated in Fig. 1, is a natural application of this technology, as the sidewall vias can be replaced by tunable pin arrays with block actuators.

Finally, potentially new applications for this permeable enabling technology include lightweight and forced-air/cryogenically cooled subsystems, gas/vapor/humidity/pressure/light sensors, optoelectronic and even real-time tunable/reconfigurable components, circuits and subsystems. Here, a resonance or series of resonances can be implemented and the resulting frequency detuning and reduction in quality factors can be detected, giving valuable information on the particular parameter under test.
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